# **Radical polymerization of fluoroalkyl 2-fluoroalkoxy methacrylate to new type of fluorine containing polymer**

# **Bunichiro Yamada\*, Shinji Tagashira, and Takayuki Otsu**

Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka City University, Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558, Japan

## **Summary**

Fluoroalkyl 2-fluoroalkoxymethylacrylates as 1,1-disubstituted ethylenic monomers were synthesized from methyl Zhydroxymethylacrylate as a starting compound. These monomers readily polymerized to high molecular weight despite considerable steric hindrance expected. Electron withdrawing character of the fluoroalkyl groups rendered these monomers as much stronger electron accepting monomers than methyl methacrylate in copolymerization. The polymers obtained were characterized by thermal stability, glass transition temperature, and contact angle of the polymer film to water.

# Introduction

Recently, polymerizable l,l-disubstituted ethylenes bearing bulky substituents have drawn attention despite considerable steric hindrance expected. Among them, variety of alkyl 2-(substituted methyl)acrylates [i-6] which are difunctionally substituted ethylenic monomers have been derived from alkyl 2-hydroxymethylacrylate [7]. We have pointed out the presence of boundary of acceleration and suppression of the polymerization between ethyl 2-fluoromethylacrylate and ethyl 2-chloromethylacrylate [8] as well as methyl methacrylate (MMA) and methyl 2-ethylacrylate [9]. Furthermore, replacement of the chlorine in the substituent of the 2-chloromethylacrylate by bromine leads to reluctance of polymerization and copolymerization [8], and alternatively, the 2 bromomethylacrylate undergoes exclusively addition-fragmentation reaction in the polymerization with styrene (St) and methyl methacrylate (HMA) [10]. Methyl 2-phenoxymethylacrylate [4] which has a relatively low ceiling temperature has been shown to take place polymerization and the addition-fragmentation reaction simultaneously [11,12]. Such structural changes at the remote position from the reacting carbon-carbon double bond dramatically influence polymerization behavior of l,l-disubstituted ethylenic monomers.

While methyl 2-alkoxymethylacrylates (MCMA's) exhibit different polymerization reactivities dependent on the carbon number of the alkoxy group, enhanced copolymerization reactivity of MCMA with St and MMA arising from the electron withdrawing character of the alkoxymethyl group has been noted [6]. Methyl 2-fluoroalkoxymethylacrylates (MCFHA's) bearing stronger electronegative groups than the alkoxymethyl group as the 2-substituent have been prepared by Hathias et

al. [13] and us [14] independently. These monomers polymerize rapidly, and the resulting polymers exhibited characteristics expected for fluoropolymers. Considering the higher polymerization and copolymerization reactivities of MCFMA than MCMA, we expected that the monomer with fluorine substitution to a higher extent such as fluoroalkyl 2-fluoroalkoxymethylacrylates (DCFMA's), which are stronger electron accepting monomers than MCFMA, polymerize readily to a new type of fluorine containing polymers.

In the present work, 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl 2-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxymethyl)acrylate (DC2F3MA), 2,2,3,3-tetrafluorol-propyl 2-(2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-l-propoxymethyl)acrylate (DC3F4MA), 2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-l-propyl 2-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxymethyl)acrylate  $(C_3F_4C_2F_3MA)$ , and  $2,2,2-trifluoroethyl$  $2-(2,2,3,3-tetrafluor-1-propoxymethyl) acrylate (C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>C<sub>3</sub>F<sub>4</sub>MA)$ were synthesized and polymerized with an expectation as highly polymerizable 2-substituted acrylate bearing a bulky and electronegative group:



#### Experimental

DCFMA's were prepared by reaction of the 2-fluoroalkoxymethylacryloyl chloride with fluoroalcohol. The acryloyl chloride was synthesized by the reaction of 2-fluoroalkoxymethylacrylic acid which was synthesized by alkaline hydrolysis of MCFMA with thionyl chloride. Structures of DCFMA's were verified by  $1H-$  and  $13C-NMR$  spectroscopy.  $1H-NMR$  (400) MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) : DC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>MA,  $\delta$  = 3.90(q, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.38(s, 2H, CH20), 4.56(q, 2H, COQCH2), 6.06(s, IH, CH=C), and 6.48 ppm (s, 1H, CH=C); DC3 F4 MA,  $\delta$  = 3.89(t, 2H, OCH2), 4.33(s, 2H, CH20), 4.58(t, 2H, COOCH2), 5.88(tt, IH, CF2H), 5.92(tt, IH,  $CF<sub>2</sub>H$ ), 6.00(s, 1H, CH=C), and 6.45 ppm(s, 1H, CH=C);  $C_3F_4C_2F_3MA, \delta = 3.89(q, 2H, OCH_2), 4.37(s, 2H, CH_2O), 4.58$ (tt, 2H, COOCH2), 5.88(tt, IH, CF2H), 6.04(s, IH, CH=C), and 6.45 ppm(s, 1H, CH=C); C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>C<sub>3</sub>F<sub>4</sub>MA,  $\delta$  = 3.89(tt, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.33(s, 2H, CH20), 4.56(q, 2H, COOCH2), 5.92(tt, IH, CF2H), 6.02(s, 1H, CH=C), and 6.47ppm(s, 1H, CH=C).  $13C-NMR$  (100) MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): DC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>MA,  $\delta = 60.66(q, CO)$ COOCH<sub>2</sub>), 68.34(q, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 70.33(s, CH20), 122.97(q, CF3), 123.90(q, CF3), 129.21(s,  $CH_2 = C$ , 134.90(s, CH<sub>2</sub>=C), and 163.70 ppm(s, C=O); DC<sub>3</sub>F<sub>4</sub>MA,  $\delta$  $= 59.87(t, COOCH<sub>2</sub>)$ ,  $67.69(t, OCH<sub>2</sub>)$ ,  $70.38(s, CH<sub>2</sub>O)$ ,  $109.29$ (tt, CF<sub>2</sub>), 109.50(tt, CF<sub>2</sub>), 114.15(tt, CF<sub>2</sub>H), 114.99(tt,  $CF_2$ ), 129.19(s,  $CH_2=C$ ), 135.00(s,  $CH_2=C$ ), and 163.87 ppm(s, C=O);  $CsF_4C_2F_3MA$ ,  $\delta = 59.90(t, COOCH_2)$ ,  $68.28(q, OCH_2)$ , 70.38 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 109.48(tt, CF<sub>2</sub>), 114.13(tt, CF<sub>2</sub>H), 123.88(q, CF<sub>3</sub>), 129.18(s,  $CH_2 = C$ ), 134.99(s,  $CH_2 = C$ ), and 163.87 ppm(s,  $C=O$ );  $C_2$ F<sub>3</sub>C<sub>3</sub>F<sub>4</sub>MA,  $\delta = 60.71(q, 36.5 Hz, COOCH<sub>2</sub>)$ , 67.81(t, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 70.38(s, CH20), i09.26(tt, CF2), I14.96(tt, CF2H), 122.94(q  $CF_3$ ), 129.38(s,  $CH_2 = C$ ), 134.86(s,  $CH_2 = C$ ), and 163.68 ppm(s,  $C = O$ ). Commercially available MMA, St, and isobutyl vinyl Commercially available MMA, St, and isobutyl vinyl ether (IBVE) were distilled before use. The polymerizations and copolymerizations in dioxane were run in sealed tubes and 2,2'-azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) recrystallized from methanol was used as an initiator. After polymerization for a certain time, the contents of the ampoule were poured into<br>hexane to precipitate the polymer or copolymer. Overall hexane to precipitate the polymer or copolymer. rate of the polymerization (Rp) was determined from the weight of the polymer isolated.

Copolymer compositions were calculated from fluorine analysis by combustion method [15] and from the intensity ratio of the resonances due to the aromatic and methyleneoxy protons in the IH-NMR spectra of the copolymer of DCFMA with St. The compositions of the copolymers with MMA and IBVE were also determined by using the intensity of the resonances of the methoxy and methineoxy protons in the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra. Monomer reactivity ratios,  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , were evaluated by a non-linear least squares procedure [16].

Number and weight average molecular weight ( $\bar{M}_n$  and  $\bar{M}_w$ ) was measured by a TOSO 8000 series HPLC equipped with GPC columns and tetrahydrofuran as solvent. Light scattering measurement was carried out with an Otsuka DLS-700 photometer and dioxane was used as solvent. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was done using a Shimadzu TG20 thermobalance and ca. 1.5 mg of polymer sample was heated in nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C/min, and initial temperature of degradation (Tinit) and maximum degradation rate temperature ( $T_{\text{max}}$ ) were determined. Glass transition temperature ( $T_g$ ) was measured by Rigaku 8230B differential scanning calorimeter at a heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C/min. Contact angle of polymer film to water was measured at 25°C by a Erma contact<br>angle meter G-I. Surface tension of polymer was estima Surface tension of polymer was estimated according to additivity of paracohl [17,18].

## Results and discussion

## Polymerization and copolymerization

Polymerizing HCMA and MCFHA, we have shown faster polymerization of these monomers than MMA [6,14]. Although the polymerizations of some MCHA's suffered from suppression due to relatively low ceiling temperatures resulting in diminish of the polymerization rate as the conversion raised [6], all the MCFMA's polymerized smoothly up to high conversions [14]. Rp's of DCFHA and HCFHA monomers at the initial stage of the polymerization are compared in Table 1. Although the GPC measurement showed lower molecular weight of poly(DCFMA) than poly(HMA), faster polymerization could not give lower molecular weight according to the standard kinetics of radical polymerization.  $\bar{M}_n$  determined by GPC given in Table 1 seems to be too low when compared with  $\bar{N}_w$  from the light scattering measurement. Slower polymerization of DC3 F4MA than the other DCFMA's could be ascribable to reduction of  $R<sub>p</sub>$ by steric hindrance to a some extent.

The 13C-NMR spectra of DCFMA and poly(DCFMA) exhibited the polymer formation by cleavage of the carbon-carbon double bond. Resonances due to the carbons of the double bond of DCFMA at ca. 129 and 135 ppm disappeared in the spectra of the polymers, and the methylene and quaternary carbons in the main chain of poly(DCFMA) showed the resonances at ca. 42 and 50 ppm, respectively.

	$R_{\rm p}$ x 10 <sup>5</sup>	Polymer		
Monomer	$(mol/L \cdot s)$	$M_n$ x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	$\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{w}$ / $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{n}$	
DC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>3</sub> MA	7.6	$5 \cdot 1$	1.86	
DC <sub>3</sub> F <sub>4</sub> MA	5.4	2.0	1.60	
$C3 F4 C2 F3 MA$	10.9	3.4	1.92	
$C2 F3 C3 F4 MA$	8.1	2.3a	1.92	
$MC2 F3 MAb$	9.2	11.0	1.80	
$MC3 F4 MAb$	10.1	6.3	1.98	
MMA	4.6	9.6	1.92	

Table 1. Polymerization of DCFMA in dioxane at  $60^{\circ}$ C: [Monomer] = 2.0 mol/L and [AIBN] = 5 mmol/L

a)  $\bar{M}_w$  = 5 x 10<sup>5</sup> by light scattering measurement.

b) Ref. 14.

From the copolymer composition relations for the copoiymerizations of  $DC_2F_3MA$  (M<sub>1</sub>) with St and MMA (M<sub>1</sub>) depicted in Fig. 1, r1, r2, Q2, and e2 were evaluated as summarized in Table 2. In the same table,  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  for the copolymerizations of other DCFMA's and their Q and e values are also shown. DCFMA exhibited higher reactivity than MCFMA bearing the corresponding fluoroalkoxymethyl group. As anticipated from the electronegative character of the fluoroalkyl group in each monomer, the value of e for DCFMA is apparently greater than that for MCFMA.

Narita et al. [19] have reported that the e values of 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl acrylate and methacrylate are greater than those of the methyl esters (e =  $0.60$  and  $0.40$ ) by  $0.53$ and 0.58, respectively. Similarly, the increase in e value



 $[M_1]$  in comonomer  $(m_0]-\mathcal{X})$ 

Fig. i. Copolymer composition curves for copolymerizations of DC<sub>2</sub> F<sub>3</sub>MA (M<sub>2</sub>) with St (  $\bigcirc$  ) and MMA (M<sub>1</sub>) ( $\bigcirc$ ): [M<sub>1</sub>] + [M<sub>2</sub>] = 2.0 mol/L and [AIBN] = 5.0 mmol/L. Curves are drawn for  $r_1$  $= 0.11$  and  $r_2 = 0.05$ , and  $r_1 = 0.35$  and  $r_2 = 0.04$ .

M <sub>1</sub>	Mэ	r <sub>1</sub>	T2	1/r <sub>2</sub>	Q2	e <sub>2</sub>
St	DC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>3</sub> MA	0.11	0.05	9.1	1.50	1.46
St	$C3 F4 C2 F3 MA$	0.09	0.08	11.1	1.81	1.43
St	DC <sub>3</sub> F <sub>4</sub> MA	0.22	0.07	4.5	0.87	1.26
St	$C2 F3 C3 F4 MA$	0.14	0.06	7.1	1.25	1.37
MMA	DC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>3</sub> MA	0.35	0.04	2.9	4.86	2.45
MMA	DC <sub>3</sub> F <sub>4</sub> MA	0.80	0.05	1.3	1.92	2.22

Table 2. Copolymerization parameters for copolymerization of DCFMA (M<sub>2</sub>) with St and MMA (M<sub>1</sub>) at  $60^{\circ}$ C

by replacement of the methyl group of  $MC_2F_3MA$  (e = 0.92) with the trifluoroethyl group as the ester alkyl group leading to  $DC_2F_3MA$  (e = 1.46) is estimated to be  $0.54$ . The tetrafluoropropyl group as a part of the 2-substituent and the ester alkyl group also bring about increases in e value. The increase in e value by replacement of the ethoxy group of methyl 2-ethoxymethylacrylate (e = 0.75) by the trifluoroethoxy group leading to  $MC_2F_3MA$  (e = 0.92) is 0.17. difference in e value between methyl 2-ethoxymethylacrylate and  $DC_2F_3MA$ , 0.71, is in agreement with the summation of  $0.54$ and 0.17.

The greater increment in e value by the trifluoroethyl group as the ester alkyl group can be explained by a decrease and increase in contributions of the resonance structures of II and III, respectively, among the resonance structures of DFCMA, I, II, and III:



A larger Q value for DC2F3MA than MC2F3MA can also be accounted for by larger contribution of III arising from a decrease in contribution of II. The changes in the Q and e values by replacement of the methyl or ethyl group by the tetrafluoropropyl group can be interpreted similarly. The e value for DC3F4MA is smaller than that for DC2FsMA, indicating that the trifluoromethyl (CF3) group in the trifluoroethyl group exhibits stronger electron withdrawing character than the tetrafluoroethyl ( $CF_2CF_2H$ ) group in the tetrafluoropropyl group. Although larger e values for DCFMA's than MCFMA's can also be expected from larger chemical shifts of the 2-carbons of the former in 13C-NMR spectra, an empirical equation predicting the e value derived [20] was not applicable to DCFMA and MCFMA.

The Q<sub>2</sub> and e<sub>2</sub> values calculated from the copolymerizations with MMA (M<sub>1</sub>) are not agree with those from the copolymerization with St. These tendencies may imply that the steric interaction of the methyl and carbomethoxy groups of



Fig. 2. Copolymer composition curves for eopolymerizations of  $DC_2F_3MA$  (  $\bigcirc$  ),  $DC_3F_4MA$  ( $\bigcirc$ ),  $MC_2F_3MA$  ( $\bigcap$ ), and  $MC_3F_4MA$  ( $\bigcirc$ )) with IBVE (M<sub>1</sub>) in dioxane at  $60^{\circ}$ C: [M<sub>1</sub>] + [M<sub>2</sub>] = 4.0 mol/L and  $[AIBN] = 5.0$  mmol/L

MMA and poly(MMA) radical with the 2-substituents of the fluoro-monomers and their polymer radicals in propagation can be anticipated, and polymerization and copolymerization of l,l-disubstituted ethylene are likely to be readily susceptible to steric hindrance.

In accordance with the positively enhanced e value, the copolymerization of  $DC_2F_3MA$  (M<sub>2</sub>) with IBVE (M<sub>1</sub>) showed a highly alternating tendency ( $r_1 = 0$  and  $r_2 = 0.14$ ) as depicted in Fig. 2 unlikely to the copolymerization of MC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>MA ( $r_1 = 0$ and  $r_2$  = 3.82). Apparently, electron withdrawing character arising from the fluorine substitution rendered the copolymerization of DC2F3MA and IBVE with the highly alternating tendency. Random propagations occurred in the copolymerizations of MC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>MA (M<sub>2</sub>) with IBVE (M<sub>1</sub>) and MC<sub>3</sub>F<sub>4</sub>MA (M<sub>2</sub>) with IBVE (M<sub>1</sub>) (r<sub>1</sub> = 0 and r<sub>2</sub> = 5.42). Although DC<sub>3</sub>F<sub>4</sub>MA was also expected to be an electron accepting monomer in the copolymerization with IBVE (MI), the alternating tendency observed was not so high ( $r_1 = 0$  and  $r_2 = 0.89$ ) in conformity with the lower e value given in Table 2.

# Characterization of Polymer

Since new type of fluorine containing polymers were obtained, some characteristics of these polymers were examined. Tables 3 and 4 show solubility and thermal properties of the fluoropolymers. All the polymers from DCFMA were soluble in methanol as well as poly(MCFMA) [14]. This phenomenon could arise from an increase in polar interaction of the fluoroalkyl group with methanol. Acetone and dioxane which was used as solvent for the polymerization and copolymerization of DCFMA dissolved polymers of DCFMA.





a) O: Soluble, S: Swollen, X: Insoluble



Table 4. Thermal properties of poly(DCFMA)

a) Ref. 14. b) Ref. 21.

Table 5. Contact angle and surface tension of poly(DCFMA)



**a)**  b) Calculated from the additivity in refs. 17 and 18. Ref. 14.

Poly(DCFMA)'s exhibited Tg in the range of 40 to 58°C which are apparently lower and higher than those for poly-  $(MMA)(127°C)$  [21] and poly(methyl acrylate)(around 20°C) [22], respectively, and all the polymers prepared were thermally less stable than poly(MMA) as confirmed by TGA as shown in Table 4. Contact angles of poly(DCFMA) films to water, which are significantly higher than that of poly(MMA) film, are similar to those of poly(MCFMA) as shown in Table 5. It is supposed that the polymers have sufficient amount of the fluoroalkyl group to occupy the surface of the film as pointed out by Iwatsuki et al. [23] for copolymers of fluoroalkyl 2-fluoroalkylacrylates.

In summary, DCFMA's polymerized readily to high molecular weight, and electron withdrawing character of the

fluoroalkyl group as the ester alkyl group and a part of the 2-substituent enhanced reactivity in copolymerizations<br>with St and MMA. High alternating tendency in the copoly High alternating tendency in the copoly-<br> $\overline{E}$  is noted. The stronger electron withmerization with IBVE is noted. drawing character of the trifluoroethyl group than the tetrafluoropropyl group was clearly observed in the copolymerizations. Characterization of poly(DCFMA) revealed that the fluoroalkyl group as the ester alkyl group does not affect remarkably the solubility,  $T_q$ , TGA, and the contact angle.

#### **References**

- i) Reed, S. F. and Baldwin, *M. G., J. Polym. Sci.,* A2, 1355 (1964).
- 2) Powell, J. A. and Graham, R. K., *J. Polym. Sci.,* A3, 3451 (1965).
- 3) Warren, S. C. and Mathias, *L. J., J. Polym. Sci.: Part A; Polym. Chem.,* 28, 1637 (1990).
- 4) Lenz, R. W., Saunders, K., Balakrishnan, T., and Hatada, K., *Macromolecules,* 12, 392 (1979).
- **5)**  Sato, T., Morita, N., Tanaka, H., and Ota, T., *Makromol. Chem.,* 191, 2599 (1990).
- 6) Yamada, B., Satake, M., and Otsu, T., *Makromol. Chem.*  192, 2713 (1991).
- 7) Hoffmann, H. M. R. and Rabe, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Eng.,* 22, 795 (1983).
- **8**  Yamada, B. and Otsu, T., *Makromol, Chem.* 192, 333 (1991)
- **9**  Chikanishi, K. and Tsuruta, T., *Makromol. Chem.,* 81, 198 (1965).
- I0 Yamada, B., Kobatake, S., and Otsu, T., *Polym. J,* 24, 281 (1992).
- ii Yamada, B., Satake, M., and Otsu, T., *Polym. J.,* 24, 563 (1992).
- 12) Yamada, B., Kobatake, S., Satake, M., and Otsu, T., J. *Polym. Sci.: Part A: Polym. Chem.* in press.
- 13) Jariwala, C. P., Sundell, P. G., Hoyle, C. E., and
- Mathias, *L. J., Macromolecules,* 24, 6352 (199]).
- 14) Yamada, B., Satake, M., and Otsu, *T., J. M. S.-Pure Appl. Chem.,* A29, 533 (1992).
- 15 Schoniger, W., *Mikrochim. Aeta,* 1956, 869.
- 16 Yamada, B., Itahashi, M., and Otsu, *T., J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed.,* 16, 1719 (1978).
- 17 Van Krevelen, D. W., *"Properties of Polymers",* 2nd Ed, Elsevier, 1976.
- 18 Rabek, J. F., *"Experimental Methods in Polymer Chemistry",* Wiley, 1980.
- 19 Narita, T., Hagiwara, T., and Hamana, H., *Makromol. Chem., Rapid Commun.* 6, 5 (1985).
- 20 Herman, J. J. and Teyssie, Ph., *Macromoleeules,* 11, 839 (1978).
- 21 Matsumoto, A., Tanaka, S., and Otsu, *T., Macromolecules,* 24, 4017 (1991).
- 22 Peyser, P., *"Polymer Handbook",* 3rd Ed., Brandrup, J. B. and Immergut, E. H., Ed., Wiley, New York, 1989, p. VI/209.
- 23 Iwatuski, S., Kondo, A., and Sakai, Y., *Nippon Kagaku Kaishi,* 1985, 1884.

242

Accepted *January* 18, 1993 S